## C/CAG BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE TDA ARTICLE 3 APPLICATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

Agency:		Funds Requested: \$		
Proje	ct Description:			
PRO	IECT SCREENING			
a.	CALTRANS Standards			
	Explain how the project r	meets CALTRANS Standa	rds.	
b.	CEQA approval?	Yes Date of approval	No	
	Note: CEQA document n	nust be submitted with the	application.	
STAT	E OF READINESS			
a.		ct proposal is complete an e complete the application		
b.	Right-of-Way certification If required, Right-of-way	•	No No	N/A
	Comments:			

C.	Permits/Agreements approved?	Yes	No	N/A
	List all permits and/or agreements a	pproved/obta	ined to date:	
	Document		ate approved/	obtained
	Comments:			
d.	Comment on the status of design of percentage of design completed.	the project, a	and indicate the	Э
d.		the project, a	and indicate the	Э
		the project, a	and indicate the	e
COMI	percentage of design completed.	AG Compreh		
COMI	percentage of design completed.  MUNITY SUPPORT  Listed as "priority project" in the C/C	AG Compreh		

c. Funds requested:  Local match to be provided:  Local match percentage = Local match provided Funds requested  = = %  MEETS PROGRAM OBJECTIVES  a. Does the project eliminate or mitigate the effects from an identified problem? Yes No  Explain:  b.1.Bicycles: Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians: pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:		composition of relevan			ovai documen	ialion and snov
Local match to be provided: \$  Local match percentage = Local match provided Funds requested  = = %  MEETS PROGRAM OBJECTIVES  a. Does the project eliminate or mitigate the effects from an identified problem? Yes No  Explain:  b.1.Bicycles: Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians: pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:						
Local match percentage = Local match provided Funds requested  = = %  IEETS PROGRAM OBJECTIVES  a. Does the project eliminate or mitigate the effects from an identified problem? Yes No  Explain:  b.1.Bicycles: Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians: pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:	C.	Funds requested:		\$		
Funds requested  = = %    EETS PROGRAM OBJECTIVES     a. Does the project eliminate or mitigate the effects from an identified problem? Yes No   Explain:     b.1.Bicycles: Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians: pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?    Yes No     Explain:     c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No     Explain:     EETS PROGRAM OBJECTIVES		Local match to be prov	vided:	\$		
a. Does the project eliminate or mitigate the effects from an identified problem?  Explain:  b.1.Bicycles:     Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians:  Pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  c. Is commute use improved by the project?  Yes No  Explain:		Local match percentag				
a. Does the project eliminate or mitigate the effects from an identified problem?  Explain:    Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians:    Does the project provide access to bicycle or pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?    Yes   No		=	=	:	%	
b.1.Bicycles: Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians: Pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  C. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:	EET	S PROGRAM OBJECT	<u>IVES</u>			
b.1.Bicycles: Does the project provide access to bicycle or b.2.Pedestrians: Pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:	a.			igate the e		n identified
b.2.Pedestrians: pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  C. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:		Explain:				
b.2.Pedestrians: pedestrian facilities in high use activity centers?  Yes No  Explain:  C. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:						
c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:		•		•		•
c. Is commute use improved by the project? Yes No  Explain:					Yes	No
Explain:		Explain:				
Explain:	C.	Is commute use improv	ved by the	project?	Yes	No
	· ·	·	-			

	destriari rodies:		What is the relationship of the project to more significant bicycle or pedestrian routes?				
Ex	plain:						
_							
e. Th	The project is consistent with or included in the following:						
	1. County or City facilities plan -	Yes	No				
	2. Circulation element of general plan -	Yes	No				
	<ol> <li>C/CAG Comprehensive Bicycle Route Plan -</li> <li>Pedestrian Plan equal to e.3. above -</li> </ol>	Yes Yes	No No				
	4. Fedestilali Flaii equal to e.s. above -	162	INC				
Pla	an	Page _					
f. Co	omment on the level of local support:						
	ote: A resolution of support from the relevant jurisdi bmitted with the application.	ction is to b	e				
FETY							
How i	s safety improved because of the project?						
	in:						
	in:						
	in:						
	in:						
	in:						
	in:						
Expla	ECT CONTACT INFORMATION						

Telephone Number	
email address	
Secondary Contact Perso	on
Telephone Number	
email address	